

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION5

77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARDOG M-018 CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

SEP 29 10 37 AM '99

FILE: LAFTY TO THE AFTENTION OF

SRF-5J

SEP 2 8 1999

Mr. Johnny W. Reising United States Department of Energy Feed Materials Production Center P.O. Box 398705 Cincinnati, Ohio 45239-8705

RE: Area 2, Phase 2 PSP

Dear Mr. Reising:

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) has completed its review of the United States Department of Energy's (U.S. DOE) Project Specific Plan (PSP) for the pre-design sampling in Area 2, Phase 2 (A2,P2) parts two and three, in the southwest portion of the site.

U.S. EPA's comments on the PSP are attached. U.S. DOE must submit responses to comments with (30) thirty days receipt of this letter.

Please contact me at (312) 886-0992 if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

James A. Saric

Remedial Project Manager Federal Facilities Section

SFD Remedial Response Branch #2

Enclosure

Tom Schneider, OEPA-SWDO cc: Bill Murphie, U.S. DOE-HDQ

John Bradburne, FERMCO Terry Hagen, FERMCO Tom Walsh, FERMCO

TECHNICAL REVIEW COMMENTS ON "PROJECT SPECIFIC PLAN FOR PREDESIGN SAMPLING IN THE AREA 2, PHASE II--PARTS TWO AND THREE"

FERNALD ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PROJECT

GENERAL COMMENT

Commenting Organization: U.S. EPA Commentor: Saric Section #: Not applicable (NA) Page #: NA Line #: NA

Original General Comment #: 1

Comment: Figure 2-2 shows that considerable portions of Area 2, Phase II--Parts 2 and 3 are inaccessible for real-time measurement scanning. The text on Page 2-4 in Section 2.3 states that these inaccessible areas will be covered by a separate precertification or certification investigation. The real-time scans are the primary way to identify "hot spots." Many of the inaccessible areas are near the Southern Waste Units area (Area 2, Phase I), where a number of very discrete "hot spots" have been found. Future investigations of these inaccessible areas in Area 2, Phase II must therefore be carefully designed to provide coverage adequate to detect any such "hot spots."

SPECIFIC COMMENT

Commenting Organization: U.S. EPA Commentor: Saric Section #: 2.2 Page #: 2-1 Line #: 25

Original Specific Comment #: 1

Comment: The text states that areas to be sampled at depth include those that have a topographic difference of more than 6 feet between the elevations measured in 1952 (before site activities began) and the current elevations. The basis for the selection of this difference as a rationale for sampling at depth is not stated. A decrease in elevation from erosion or removal of soil would not warrant subsurface sampling of the site. On the other hand, addition of only a few feet of soil could provide enough shielding to mask a significant radiation source. The rationale for selecting sampling locations at depth should be more fully discussed.